Rotary Impingers for Adulticide Equipment Characterization:

What You See vs. What You Get

Jonathan Hornby and William Opp

Lee County Mosquito Control District Ft. Myers, FL

What You See

Laser Based Nozzle Plume Characterization











New EPA Label Requirement

Prescription for nozzle Dv_(.5) and Dv_(.9)

Laser Based Nozzle Plume Characterization

What You Get

Field Nozzle Plume Characterization

Rotary Impinger

20th Century

500 rpm

1 inch slides

Coatings: Silicone Teflon

Yeoman's Correction applied



Rotary Impinger

21st Century

MIX or MATCH

500 rpm	625 rpm
1 inch slides	3mm slides
Teflon	Teflon Tape







Effects of Rotary Impinger Changes

Higher Speed......More & Smaller Drops Collected

Narrower Slide......More Smaller Drops Fewer Large Drops





Equipment: Leco P1 hand held fogger 1.2 oz/min

DC3: High Pressure PJ20 (1040 psi)

Huey UH1: High Pressure PJ20 (1250 psi)

Design: Leco P1: 6 feet from spinners

DC3, Huey UH1: 50 ft above ground, Into the wind.

3 Replicate Runs on Different Days

Mean $Dv_{(.1)} Dv_{(.5)} Dv_{(.9)}$ with 95% Confidence Interval Yeoman's correction applied

Leco P1 Hand Held Characterization Rotary Impinger Configurations vs. Wind Tunnel



DC3 High Pressure Characterization Rotary Impinger Configurations vs Wind Tunnel





Summary

What You See is What You Get

The 3 mm high speed spinner most closely characterized the aerosol plume to match data of the wind tunnel when $Dv(.9) < 100 \mu$.

The 1 inch high speed spinner produced data statistically the same as the 3 mm high speed and the 1 in. low speed spinner for aerosol plumes with Dv(.9) of < 100 μ.

Rotary impingers with 1 inch slides tend to over estimate the Dv() values for aerosol plumes with Dv(.9) of <100 μ.

Rotary impingers are an acceptable means of characterizing aerosol plumes with Dv(.9) of <100 μ when evaluating for Label compliance.

"When Yeoman's correction is applied"